

Chrismarkday@gamil.com

25 October 2024

[REDACTED]

Dear Maeve,

I have been pleased to be working with Crowdjustice since 2015. As you know I have raised legal funds for my 10 years of NHS whistleblowing litigation and also assisted with other cases of public interest most notably Dr Bawa-Garba.

In my litigation, my opponents are prone to attacking me with objectively false allegations in skeleton arguments and submissions whilst not cross examining me on the allegations/positions or providing evidence. This happens time and time again. Regrettably, numerous judges for whatever reason have not got to grips with this. Crowdjustice has sometimes been a focus

In the litigation, it has been falsely implied by lawyers acting for Lewisham and Greenwich NHS Trust that I have spent Crowdjustice funds meant for legal fees on other things such as a holiday. This is nothing more than a dishonest smear but does the damage to me and Crowdjustice none the less.

This week in the London South Employment Tribunal, Hill Dickinson and their barrister Dijen Basu KC inserted yet another untested Crowdjustice allegation into a submission that was not put to me during cross examination. This occurred even after Hill Dickinson lawyers were corrected on the way Crowdjustice works. In particular it was made clear to Hill Dickinson lawyers that Crowdjustice funds are never transferred to the personal bank accounts of litigants and are only ever under the control of regulated legal professionals. None the less the following was submitted yesterday and not challenged by the Judge;

“he accepts that he has raised £470,490 for the crowd funding of his legal costs through Crowd Justice Limited (www.crowdjustice.com) and he has failed to show a single penny being refunded to Crowd Justice Limited on his behalf, e.g. when HEE paid him £55,000 in respect of his earlier employment tribunal costs (see e.g. [1363 –1364]which shows £284,719.61 then received (mostly) from Crowd Justice Limited, the £55,000 from HEE and £3,700 from Dr. Day, being entirely gobbled up by legal fees and disbursements, none of which was a refund to Crowd Justice Limited).”

Please can Crowdjustice confirm that any allegation that I owe Crowdjustice Limited money is not true. There is also no basis to accuse lawyers acting for me of misusing crowdjustice funds.

Please can it also be confirmed that all funds raised by me on Crowdjustice have been transferred to the client account of SRA regulated solicitors and that I have no power to misuse the money either on holidays or by deciding not to pay money owed to Crowdjustice. Please can Crowdjustice confirm such allegations are not only false but could not be true given the robust systems in place at Crowdjustice. I am grateful for the important work Crowdjustice does to provide access to justice for important cases of public interest.

Please also note that in yesterday's submission made on my behalf in my wasted cost application against Hill Dickinson, it is made clear at paragraph 113;

"If the litigant recovers funds in the form of a costs order, the litigant must return the funds to CrowdJustice where, if it is not used to fund ongoing litigation, it will be returned to CrowdJustice who will use it for an Approved Cause, as defined by CrowdJustice's terms and conditions:

I have had my career destroyed and been put through 10 years of damaging litigation as a direct result of a number of untested false statements and allegations being blindly believed by the English legal system. Often these factual positions after never subject to cross examination or a final Judgment but casually find their way into submissions and Judgments particularly at the London South Employment Tribunal.

I would appreciate your urgent response to this letter so these untested and false allegations about misuse of Crowdjustice funds are not inserted into a public judgment which will damage both me and Crowdjustice. I have no faith in the London South Employment Tribunal who at my last hearing turned a blind eye to one NHS Director deleting 90,000 emails during the hearing and another NHS Director key to my case deleting their entire NHS email account during the live litigation.

Yours Sincerely,



Dr Chris Day